## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GABRIEL CHAVEZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

No. 22-cv-06119 WHA

v.

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT,

ORDER REGARDING UPCOMING TRIAL AND ORDER OF PROOF

Defendant.

As stated during today's hearing, the parties will proceed in a two-step order of proof. In phase one, BART will try its undue hardship affirmative defense, and that issue alone, to the jury. Each side will have forty minutes for opening arguments and 280 minutes to put on evidence (which includes direct, cross, redirect, and recross examination). The jury will then be instructed on phase one and a special verdict on that issue will be returned by the jury. If BART prevails, phase one will conclude this trial. If the jury finds against BART on its undue affirmative defense, we will proceed to the second phase as to those plaintiffs who prevailed.

In phase two, each side will be permitted to present an opening argument. All remaining plaintiffs shall have the burden of proving their religious exemptions, except those conceded by BART. All plaintiffs shall present their

damages cases. The same jury will then be instructed on those issues and return a verdict.

If either side tries to impeach a witness with their testimony from the first trial, counsel shall refer to the prior trial as "the proceeding on [insert] date under oath." Counsel shall instruct their witnesses not to mention the first "trial."

Lastly, counsel are reminded to file their stipulated list of potential jurors who can be excused by Tomorrow, October 10, At Noon.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 9, 2024.

M ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE